

# **EXHIBIT A**

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
3 WACO DIVISION

4 CAROLYN W. HAFEMAN \*  
5 VS. \* April 12, 2023  
6 LG ELECTRONICS, INC. \* \* CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-CV-696

7 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALAN D ALBRIGHT  
FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

8 APPEARANCES:

9 For the Plaintiff: Max L. Tribble, Jr., Esq.  
10 Thomas V. DelRosario, Esq.  
11 Susman Godfrey L.L.P.  
12 1000 Louisiana, Suite 5100  
13 Houston, TX 77002-5096

14 Genevieve Vose Wallace, Esq.  
15 Susman Godfrey LLP  
16 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800  
17 Seattle, WA 98101

18 Daniel D. Duhaime, Esq.  
19 Susman Godfrey LLP  
20 1301 Avenue Of The Americas,  
21 Floor 32  
22 New York, NY 10019

23 Lawrence M. Hadley, Esq.  
24 Jason C. Linger, Esq.  
25 Glaser Weil Fink Howard Avchen &  
10250 Constellation Blvd., FL 19  
Los Angeles, CA 90067

For the Defendant: Celine Jimenez Crowson, Esq.  
Hogan Lovells US LLP  
555 Thirteenth St, NW  
Washington, DC 20004

Gurtej Singh, Esq.  
Hogan Lovells US LLP  
4085 Campbell Avenue, Suite 100  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

10:23 1 the patent claims of the '287 on the Android™ operating  
10:23 2 system, which is the operating system that LG uses in  
10:23 3 all of its mobile phones.

10:23 4 LG takes issue with the fact that the  
10:23 5 linked claim charts mapped the claims to an Android™  
10:23 6 mobile phone operating system and did not identify LG  
10:23 7 by name.

10:23 8 But LG knows what operating system its  
10:23 9 phone uses. In fact, LG's corporate representative  
10:23 10 repeatedly testified that LG simply puts the Android™  
10:23 11 operating system that's delivered by Google into its  
10:23 12 phones without any modification whatsoever.

10:23 13 LG's corporate rep also testified that  
10:23 14 the Android™ operating system it puts into its phones  
10:23 15 includes the "Find My Device" functionality and that LG  
10:23 16 has never requested to remove that functionality.

10:24 17 So the reference to the Android™  
10:24 18 operating system in the Tangible IP e-mails is more  
10:24 19 than sufficient to put LG on notice. The accusation  
10:24 20 against Android™ Find My Device is an accusation  
10:24 21 against all of LG's Android™ mobile phones and tablets.

10:24 22 THE COURT: Is your argument on notice  
10:24 23 limited to the one e-mail?

10:24 24 MS. WALLACE: It is limited to the e-mail  
10:24 25 and the linked claim charts that are attached.

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT )

2 WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS )

3

4

5 I, Kristie M. Davis, Official Court  
6 Reporter for the United States District Court, Western  
7 District of Texas, do certify that the foregoing is a  
8 correct transcript from the record of proceedings in  
9 the above-entitled matter.

10 I certify that the transcript fees and  
11 format comply with those prescribed by the Court and  
12 Judicial Conference of the United States.

13 Certified to by me this 12th day of April  
14 2023.

15

16

17

18

/s/ Kristie M. Davis

KRISTIE M. DAVIS  
Official Court Reporter  
800 Franklin Avenue  
Waco, Texas 76701  
(254) 340-6114  
kmdaviscsr@yahoo.com

11:57

19

20

21

22

23

24

25